Document 6

Filed 12/31/2007

Page 1 of 18

Case 3:07-cv-02408-DMS-LSP

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2. Defendant ADMITS that SHC SAN DIEGO, INC. was incorporated in the state of Georgia and has conducted business in California since April, 2005. SHC San Diego, Inc. Was converted to a Delaware limited liability company on June 26, 2007, and is now named SHC San Diego, LLC. Defendants ADMITS that SHC SAN DIEGO, LLC. Is the general partner of Arthroscopic & Laser Surgery Center of San Diego, L.P. Defendant lacks information and belief sufficient to answer the remaining allegations of paragraph 2.
- 3. The allegations of paragraph 3. do not appear to be directed at this answering Defendant, however, Defendant ADMITS the allegations in paragraph 3 on information and belief.
- 4. The allegations of paragraph 4. do not appear to be directed at this answering Defendant, however, Defendant ADMITS that SURGICAL HEALTH CORPORATION was incorporated in the state of Delaware and DENIES the remaining allegations of paragraph 4. Surgical Health Corporation was converted to a Delaware limited liability company on June 27, 2007, and is now named Surgical Health, LLC.
- 5. Defendant ADMITS that HEALTHSOUTH SURGERY CENTERS-WEST, INC. was incorporated in the state of Delaware and DENIES the remaining allegations of paragraph 5. Delaware HealthSouth Surgery Centers-West, Inc. Converted to a Delaware limited liability company on June 26, 2007 and changed its name to Surgery Centers-West Holdings, LLC.
- 6. Defendant ADMITS that SURGICAL CARE AFFILIATES, LLC (hereinafter "SCA") is a Delaware limited liability company doing business in San Diego County, California. Defendant DENIES the allegations of this paragraph to the extent it refers to "all times herein mentioned," as SCA did not exist until January 23, 2007.
 - 7. Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 7.
 - 8. Defendant DENIES the allegations in paragraph 8.
- 9. Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 9 that it was involved in any wrongdoing. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to answer the remaining allegations of paragraph 9.
 - 10. Defendant ADMITS that Plaintiff was a limited partner in Arthroscopic & Laser

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

belief.

- 25. Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 25.
- 26. The allegations of paragraph 26. do not appear to be directed at this answering

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

paragraph 26. 27. The allegations of paragraph 27. do not appear to be directed at this answering Defendant, however Defendant ADMITS that HealthSouth and certain of its officers in

Defendant, however Defendant lacks sufficient information to answer the allegations of

- Birmingham, Alabama were accused of and investigated for accounting fraud in or around March 2003. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to answer the remaining allegations of paragraph 27.
- 28. The allegations of paragraph 28. do not appear to be directed at this answering Defendant, however Defendant ADMITS that certain HealthSouth employs admitted to criminal conduct and entered guilty pleas as reflected in Exhibit 1 to the Complaint. Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to answer the remaining allegations of paragraph 28.
- 29. The allegations of paragraph 29. do not appear to be directed at this answering Defendant, however Defendant ADMITS that certain HealthSouth employs admitted to criminal conduct and entered guilty pleas as reflected in Exhibit 1 to the Complaint. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to answer the remaining allegations of paragraph 29.
- 30. The allegations of the paragraph 30. do not appear to be directed at this answering Defendant, however Defendant ADMITS that certain HealthSouth employs admitted to criminal conduct and entered guilty pleas as reflected in Exhibit 1 to the Complaint. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to answer the remaining allegations of paragraph 30.
- Defendant ADMITS that a report was issued by the Special Audit Review 31. Committee of the Board of Directors of HEALTHSOUTH Corporation and that the Report speaks for itself. Defendant lacks sufficient information or belief to answer the remaining allegations of paragraph 31.
- 32. The allegations of paragraph 32. do not appear to be directed at this answering Defendant, however Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to answer paragraph 32.
- 33. The allegations of paragraph 33. do not appear to be directed at this answering Defendant, however Defendant DENIES said allegations to the extent they are directed at SCA. Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to answer the remaining allegations of

1	78.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
2		FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
3	В	REACH OF COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING		
4	79.	Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference its answer to the preceding		
5	paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.			
6	80.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
7	81.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
8	82.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
9	83.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
10	84.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
11	85.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
12		FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
13		BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY		
14	86.	Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference its answer to the preceding		
15	5 paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.			
16	87.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
17	88.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
18	89.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
19	90.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
20	91.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
21	92.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
22	93.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
23	94.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
24	95.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
25	96.	This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.		
26		SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
27		JUDICIAL DISSOLUTION AND ACCOUNTING		
28	97.	Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference its answer to the preceding - 7 -		

- 8 -

1 NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION 2 FRAUD AND DECEIT (NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION) 3 119. Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference its answer to the preceding 4 paragraphs as though fully set forth herein. 5 120. This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 121. 6 This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 7 122. This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 8 123. This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 9 124. This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 125. 10 This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 126. 11 This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 12 127. This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 13 128. This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 129. 14 This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 15 130. This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 16 TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION 17 FRAUD AND DECEIT (INTENTIONAL MISREPRESENTATION) 18 Defendant refers to and incorporates by reference its answer to the preceding 19 paragraphs as though fully set forth herein. To the extent this paragraph is alleged against this 20 answering Defendant, Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 131. 21 132. This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 22 133. This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 23 134. This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 24 135. This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 25 136. This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 137. 26 This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 27 138. This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant. 28 139. This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.

- 9 -

This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.

This paragraph is not alleged against this answering Defendant.

27

28

160.

161.

1		THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
2	VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONAL (sic) §17200-		
3		UNFAIR BUSINESS PRACTICES	
4	162.	Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference its answer to the preceding	
5	paragraphs as	s though fully set forth herein.	
6	163.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 163.	
7	164.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 164.	
8	165.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 165.	
9	166.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 166.	
10	167.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 167.	
11	168.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 168.	
12	169.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 169.	
13		FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
14		SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE	
15	170.	Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference its answer to the preceding	
16	paragraphs as	s though fully set forth herein.	
17	171.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 171.	
18	172.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 172.	
19	173.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 173.	
20	174.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 174.	
21	175.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 175.	
22	176.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 176 and DENIES that Plaintiff is	
23	entitled to the	e relief requested or any relief.	
24	177.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 177 and DENIES that Plaintiff is	
25	entitled to the	e relief requested or any relief.	
26	178.	Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 178 and DENIES that Plaintiff is	
27	entitled to the	e relief requested or any relief.	
28	/////		
		<u>- 11 -</u>	

1	FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
2	INJUNCTIVE RELIEF		
3	179. Defendant re-alleges and incorporates by reference its answer to the preceding		
4	paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.		
5	180. Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 180.		
6	181. Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 181.		
7	182. Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 182 and DENIES that Plaintiff		
8	entitled to the relief requested or any relief.		
9	183. Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 183.		
10	SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
11	INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH CONTRACT		
12	184. Defendant ADMITS that Plaintiff has no written contract with UCSD pertaining		
13	to interests in "the partnership." Defendant ADMITS that no contract or "Purchase Agreement"		
14	as alleged was signed with Plaintiff. Defendant DENIES the remaining allegations of paragraph		
15	184.		
16	185. Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 185.		
17	186. Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 186.		
18	187. Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 187.		
19	188. Defendant ADMITS that it negotiated with and sold to UCSD an ownership		
20	interest in what was known as the UTC Surgery Center. Defendant DENIES the remaining		
21	allegations of paragraph 188.		
22	189. Defendant DENIES the allegations of paragraph 189.		
23	SEVENTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
24	INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE		
25	190. Defendant ADMITS that Plaintiff has no written contract with UCSD pertaining		
26	to interests in "the partnership." Defendant ADMITS that no contract or "Purchase Agreement"		
27	as alleged was signed with Plaintiff. Defendant DENIES the remaining allegations of paragraph		
28	190.		

should be found legally responsible for any damages alleged by Plaintiff, which Defendant
expressly denies, then such damage was proximately caused or contributed to by the careless,
reckless, negligent, fraudulent or willful conduct of third parties. As a consequence thereof, the
proportionate degree of negligence and fault of such third parties must be determined and
prorated and any judgment that might be rendered against Defendant must be barred or reduced
by the total degree of negligence and fault found to exist as a result of the conduct of such third
parties.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Comparative Negligence)

Defendant is informed and believes and upon that basis allege that Plaintiff failed to exercise proper or ordinary care, caution or prudence in his own conduct regarding the matters which are alleged in the Complaint. If Defendant should be found legally responsible for any damages alleged by Plaintiff, which Defendant expressly denies, then such damages, if any, were proximately caused or contributed to by Plaintiff's careless, reckless and negligent conduct. As a consequence thereof, the proportionate degree of negligence and fault of Plaintiff must be determined and prorated and any judgment that might be rendered against Defendant must be barred or reduced by the total degree of negligence and fault found to exist as a result of Plaintiff's own conduct.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to Mitigate)

Defendant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Plaintiff failed, refused and neglected to take reasonable steps to mitigate his alleged damages, if any, thus barring or diminishing Plaintiff's recovery herein.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Laches)

Defendant is informed and believes and alleges thereon that Plaintiff is barred from any recovery against Defendant by reason of the doctrine of laches and undue delay in giving notice to Defendant of the matters alleged in the Complaint and in commencing this litigation.